

01-02-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #75

Attorney Docket No. TH USA OP76

12/ 31/02 Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Certificate of Mailing:

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and all the papers referenced herein, is being deposited with the	United
States Postal Services as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Trademarks, Box TTAB N	O FEE
2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202-3513, on this date <u>Dec. 31</u> , 2002.	

In the matter of Application Serial No. 76/579,612

For the Mark TUMMIES

Published in the Official Gazette on November 28, 2000

------X

TOMMY HILFIGER LICENSING, INC.

Opposer,

v. Opposition No. 122,795

KODUA MICHELLE BENNETT.

Applicant.

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Opposer, Tommy Hilfiger Licensing, Inc. ("Opposer"), hereby moves for entry of default judgment against Applicant Kodua Michelle Bennett. ("Applicant"), pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 2.106(a) and Rules 55(a) and 55(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure based upon Applicant's failure to file an Answer to the Notice of Opposition within the allotted time.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On February 26, 2001, Opposer filed a Notice of Opposition, and on April 4, 2001, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB") mailed its scheduling notice to the parties. On July 4, 2001, Applicant applied for an Extension to File an Answer to the Notice of Opposition. On July 15, 2001 the TTAB granted Applicant an extension until August 8, 2001 to file an Answer in this matter.

Well over one year after the Answer was due in this matter, there is still no Answer filed by

Applicant. Default judgment is therefore warranted.

II. DEFAULT SHOULD BE ENTERED AGAINST APPLICANT

37 CFR § 2.106(a) provides: "If no answer is filed within the time set, the opposition may be decided as in case of default." See also, Rules 55(a) and 55(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

In this case, Applicant's Answer was due on August 8, 2001, over one year ago. Opposer's counsel has not received any communication, written or oral, from Applicant or Applicant's counsel. (See, Grieco Dec. ¶ 3).

III. CONCLUSION

Because Applicant has not filed an Answer within the time set, Opposer respectfully requests that Default Judgment be entered against Applicant.

Dated: New York, New York December 31, 2002

GURSKY & EDERER, LLP

Mary L. Grieco, Esq.

1350 Broadway, 11th Floor

New York, New York 10018

(212) 904-1234

Attorneys for Opposer

DECLARATION OF MARY L. GRIECO

Mary L. Grieco, being warned that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18, United States Code, states:

- 1. I am an attorney, admitted to practice law in the States of New York and California and in Washington, D.C. I am an attorney at the firm of Gursky & Ederer, attorneys for Opposer Tommy Hilfiger Licensing, Inc. ("Opposer"). I make this Declaration in support of Opposer's Motion for Entry of Default Judgment.
- 2. On February 26, 2001, Opposer filed a Notice of Opposition, and on April 4, 2001, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB") mailed its scheduling notice to the parties. On July 4, 2001, Applicant applied for an Extension to file an Answer to the Notice of Opposition. On July 15, 2001, the TTAB granted the extension and provided Applicant until August 8, 2001 to file an Answer in this matter.
- 3. Despite the fact that Applicant's Answer was due well over a year ago, neither I nor anyone at my firm has received any communication, written or oral, from Applicant or Applicant's counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and based upon my personal knowledge, except those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I am informed and believe them to be true.

Executed on this 31st day of December, 2002 at New York, New York.

MARY L. GRIECO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day, December 31, 2002, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, entitled

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT

was served upon Applicant by prepaid, first class U.S. mail, addressed as follows:

Kodua Michelle Bennett 10153 Riverside Drive Toluca Lake, CA 91602

Л



01-02-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #75

Gursky & Ederer, ilp 1350 Broadway 11th Floor New York, New York 10018 Tel: (212) 904-1234 Fax: (212) 967-4465

WEBSITE: WWW.GURSKY-EDERER.COM

*OF COUNSEL

*REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY

Attorneys Admitted to Practice in New York, New Jersey, California Connecticut, Florida, District of Columbia, Colorado and Ontario

STEVEN R. GURSKY LOUIS S. EDERER MITCHELL B. STERN MARTIN J. FEINBERG MICHAEL A. LEVINE

Neil B. Friedman
Neil S. Goldstein⁺
Mary L. Grieco
Ellen Kon Gursky^{*}
Kevin J. Heller
Joseph H. Lessem^{*}
Carlynn R. Magliano
Jonathan A. Maltby
Jacqueline J. Morales
Charu Narang⁺
Robert S. Ogden^{*}
Michael D. Pantalony
David J. Reich^{*}
Elizabeth A. St. Lifer

December 31, 2002

BOX: TTAB - NO FEE Commissioner for Trademarks

2900 Crystal Drive

Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Re: Applicant : KODUA MICHELLE BENNETT

Opposer : TOMMY HILFIGER LICENSING, INC.,

Mark : TUMMIES Serial No. : 76/579,612

Attorney Docket : TH USA OP076

Dear Sir:

We are enclosing herewith the following documents in connection with the above-identified Opposition.

- (X) An Opposer's Motion for Entry of Default Judgment (In Triplicate);
- (X) Certificate of Mailing and
- (X) An Acknowledgment Postcard.

Please acknowledge receipt by date stamping the enclosed postcard.

Respectfully yours,

GURSKY & EDERER, LLI

By

Mary L. Grieco

MLG:yio Encl.